

Bookwork

Standard exercise,  
unseen.

Part bookwork;  
left as  
exercise

③ For  
an argument  
that  
works

Unseen  
problem.

Question 1

[2, all or none]

(i) Sequence  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$   
 $\exists N$  such that  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N$ .

(a) Claim  $\frac{2n+1}{3n+1} \rightarrow \frac{2}{3}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$

Now,  $\left| \frac{2n+1}{3n+1} - \frac{2}{3} \right| < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{3(3n+1)} < \epsilon$  ② for calculation

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3\epsilon} - 1 \right) < n$$

So take  $N = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3\epsilon} - 1 \right)$ . Then, by above, using argument.

$$\left| \frac{2n+1}{3n+1} - \frac{2}{3} \right| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N$$

(b) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $x + \epsilon$  is not a lower bound of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ . Hence  $\exists N$  s.t.

$x \leq x_N < x + \epsilon$ . If  $n > N$  then, as the sequence is decreasing,  $x \leq x_n \leq x_N < x + \epsilon$

i.e. So  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N$ .

(ii) Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Then the subsequence  $x_{2n} \rightarrow x$ . Since  $x_n > 0$  and  $x > 0$ , algebra of limits apply and we get  $\frac{x_{2n}}{x_n} \rightarrow \frac{x}{x} = 1$ . ②

(a)  $x_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot 2$  ②

(b)  $x_n = \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2$  ②

Question 2 (Mostly unseen, similar seen)

(i) True; empty set  $\text{①}$

(ii) False  $\text{①}$ ;  $\{1\}$  has  $\sup = \inf = 1$ .  $\text{①}$

(iii) False  $\text{①}$ ;  $\{r \mid 0 < r < \sqrt{2}, r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  has  
 $\sup = \sqrt{2}$   $\text{①}$

(iv) False  $\text{①}$ ;  $(0, 1)$  has inf.  $0$ .  $\text{①}$

(v) False  $\text{①}$ ;  $(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n})$  is a Cauchy sequence  $\text{②}$  of irrationals  
converging to  $0$ .

(vi) True  $\text{①}$ : Since  $\inf E < \inf F$ ,  $\inf F$  is  
no longer a lower bound of  $E$   $\text{①}$ . Hence  
 $\exists x \in E$  s.t.  $\inf E \leq x < \inf F$ .  $\text{①}$   
 $x < \inf F$  implies  $x$  is a lower bd. of  $F$

(vii) True  $\text{①}$ : Let  $a = \inf E$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   
then  $a + \frac{1}{n}$  is not a lower bd. of  $E$ .  $\text{①}$   
So  $\exists x_n \in E$  such that  
 $a \leq x_n < a + \frac{1}{n}$ .  $\text{①}$

Since  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ , Sandwich rule gives  $\boxed{x_n \rightarrow a}$   $\text{②}$

### Question 3

[2, all or none]

f is cont. at a if whenever  $x_n \rightarrow a$  in the domain of f then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(a)$ .

IVT: Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be cont. on  $[a, b]$ .

If  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is between  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$  then there is a  $c \in [a, b]$  with  $f(c) = s$ .  $\textcircled{2}^*$

EVT: Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be cont. on  $[a, b]$ .

Then f is bounded and has both a maximum and minimum on  $[a, b]$   $\textcircled{2}^*$

(i) By EVT,  $\exists c_1, c_2 \in [0, 1]$  s.t.

$$f(c_1) \leq f(x) \leq f(c_2) \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]. \textcircled{2}$$

$$\text{So } f([0, 1]) \subseteq [f(c_1), f(c_2)] \textcircled{1}$$

If  $f(c_1) \leq s \leq f(c_2)$  then IVT produces

a  $c$  between  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$f(c) = s. \textcircled{3}$$

$$\text{Therefore } f([0, 1]) = [f(c_1), f(c_2)]$$

(ii) As in (i), let  $c_1, c_2 \in [0, 1]$  be

such that  $f(c_1) \leq f(x) \leq f(c_2)$

$$\forall x \in [0, 1].$$

Book work

\* correct/true statement with weak/missing hypotheses gets 1 mark.

Part I bookwork:

homework exercise with  $[a, b]$  instead of  $[0, 1]$

Unseen:

Similar  
seen:

Consider  $g: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given  
by  $g(x) := f(x) - x$ . ②

Then  $g$  is cont. on  $[0,1]$ . ①

from (i),  $[0,1] \subseteq [f(c_1), f(c_2)]$

so  $f(c_1) \leq 0 \leq 1 \leq f(c_2)$ . ①

Hence  $g(c_1) = f(c_1) - c_1 \leq 0$  ①

and  $g(c_2) = f(c_2) - c_2 \geq 0$ . ①

By IVT,  $\exists c$  between  $c_1$  &  $c_2$  such that  
 $i.e. f(c) = c$ . ②

$$g(c) = 0$$

Such a  $c$  is of course in  $[0,1]$ .

Question 4

Bookwork

$$(i) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} \text{ exists. } \textcircled{2}$$

Similar  
seen

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x'' & \text{when } x \geq 0 \\ -x'' & \text{when } x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{is one example. } \textcircled{2}$$

Bookwork

(ii)  $\textcircled{2}$  Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be cont. on  $[a, b]$ , diff. on  $(a, b)$ .  
If  $f(a) = f(b)$  Then  $\exists c \in (a, b)$  such that  $f'(c) = 0$ .

Similar  
seen  
problem

(a) Suppose  $f$  had zeroes at  $a, b$  where  $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ .

Then  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the hypothesis of  
Rolle's Thm.  $\textcircled{1}$  Thus  $\exists c \in (a, b) \subseteq (0, 1)$  s.t.  
 $f'(c) = 0$ . This gives  $6c^2 - 6c = 0$  i.e.  $c=0$  or  $1$   $\cancel{\textcircled{3}}$

Unseen.  
similar  
seen

(b) By alg. of cont & diff.  $f^n$ ,  $h$  is cont.

in  $[a, b]$ , diff. on  $(a, b)$   $\textcircled{1}$ , it has derivative

$$h'(t) = (f(b) - f(a)) g'(t) - (g(b) - g(a)) f'(t) \textcircled{2}$$

$$\text{Also, } h(a) = f(b)g(a) - g(b)f(a) \textcircled{1}$$

$$h(b) = f(b)g(a) - g(b)f(a) \textcircled{1}$$

Hence by Rolle's Thm,  $\exists c \in (a, b)$  s.t.  $h'(c) = 0$

$$\text{i.e. } (f(b) - f(a)) g'(c) = (g(b) - g(a)) f'(c) \textcircled{2} \text{ for conclusion.}$$

Question 5:

$P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$  a partition of  $[a, b]$ .

Set  $U(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^n M_i (x_i - x_{i-1})$  ① (Upper sum)

$L(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i (x_i - x_{i-1})$  ① (Lower sum)  
where

① for explaining  $M_i, m_i$   
 $M_i := \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}; m_i = \inf f[x_{i-1}, x_i]$

Upper integral  $U(f) = \inf \{U(f, P) \mid P \text{ partition of } [a, b]\}$

lower integral  $L(f) = \sup \{L(f, P) \mid P \text{ partition of } [a, b]\}$

$f$  is Riemann integrable if  $U(f) = L(f)$ .

\* ① for  
weaker/correct  
statements

Fund. inequality ②  $L(f, P) \leq L(f) \leq U(f) \leq U(f, P)$

(i) Let  $P = \{0 = x_0 < \dots < x_n = 1\}$  be a partition of  $[0, 1]$ .

Then, as  $S[x_{i-1}, x_i] = \{1\}$ ,  $M_i = m_i = 1$ , ①

and  $U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) = 1$  ①

$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) = 1$  ①

So  $U(f) = L(f) = 1$ , ①

Hence  $f$  is integrable (with integral 1).

Bookwork

\* ① for  
weaker/correct  
statements

Standard example.

Standard example:

(ii) Take  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{when } x \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{when } x \text{ is irrational, } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$

Let  $P = \{x_0 < \dots < x_n\}$  be a partition of  $[0, 1]$ .

Then, as every  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  contains rationals and irrationals,

$$M_i = \sup f[x_{i-1}, x_i] = 1 \quad \left. \right\} \quad (1)$$
$$m_i = \inf f[x_{i-1}, x_i] = 0.$$

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 \times (x_i - x_{i-1}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Hence  $U(f) = 1$  while  $L(f) = 0$ .  $\quad (1)$

So not integrable.

Unseen.

(iii)  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ -1 & x \notin \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases} \quad (2)$

The  $f^2(x) = 1$  — so integrable; but  
f itself is not integrable.